Discussion of:

Banks, Liquidity Insurance, and Interest on Reserves in a Matching Model of Money

by Valerie Bencivenga and Gabriele Camera

Todd Keister Federal Reserve Bank of New York November 2008

The views expressed herein are my own and do not necessarily reflect those of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York or the Federal Reserve System.

Overview

- Interesting paper
 - introduces ideas from the banking literature into Lagos-Wright
- Aims to explain when banks will be used, and when cash / bank deposits will coexist
 - rich model; details are far from trivial
- Model has some policy prescriptions regarding interest on reserves
- I will organize my remarks around the title:

(i) Banks, (ii) Liquidity Insurance, and (iii) Interest on Reserves in a Matching Model of Money

A simple model

- Two consumption goods (d, c)
- Preferences: $\theta_i u(d_i) + v(c_i)$
- Two assets: $m_i + k_i \leq \omega$
 - capital yields ρ and money yields $\frac{1}{\pi}(<\rho)$ if not spent
 - good d can only be purchased with money
- In autarky: $c_i =
 ho k_i + rac{1}{\pi} \left(m_i d_i
 ight)$
- Uncertainty: (m_i, k_i) chosen before θ_i is known





(i) Banks

- Suppose agents pool endowments in a "bank"
- One possible policy: bank offers fixed returns
 - 1 on early withdrawals; ρ on late withdrawals
- Bank can anticipate withdrawal demand (no aggregate uncertainty)
 - \Rightarrow these returns are always feasible
- In equilibrium:
 - no unused money balances; high θ_i types not "overly" constrained
 - \Rightarrow allocation is same as if agents could observe θ_i before choosing portfolio

Choice set with banking



Choice set with banking



- Paper assumes accessing the bank is costly
 - \Rightarrow only use bank if benefit is large enough
 - this happens when π is large (see figure)
- In some cases, efficiency requires a mix of autarky and banking

- interesting; realistic

- This is the role of banks studied in the paper
 - allocating money balances to those who need them

(ii) Liquidity insurance

- Banks can do other useful things as well
- Suppose only two types: $\theta_H > \theta_L$
- Ask: what is the (full-information) first best allocation?

 $\max \left[\theta_{H} u(d_{H}) + v(c_{H})\right] + \left[\theta_{L} u(d_{L}) + v(c_{L})\right]$

subject to feasibility constraints

• Result:

$$d_H > d_L$$
 and $c_H = c_L$

Edgeworth box



Note: dimensions of box determined are by bank's portfolio choice

• What is incentive feasible in this setting?

- paper imposes
$$c_i =
ho \left(\omega - d_i
ight)$$



• Implement with simple demand deposit contracts

• More generally:

$$\theta_L u(c_L) + v(c_L) \geq \theta_L u(c_H) + v(c_H)$$
(IC1)
$$\theta_H u(c_H) + v(c_H) \geq \theta_H u(c_L) + v(c_L)$$
(IC2)



• <u>Cannot</u> be implemented using a simple demand deposit contract

• Cross-subsidizing types is efficient and incentive feasible

- Diamond and Dybvig (1983), Jacklin and Bhattacharya (1988)

• Diamond and Dybvig call this activity "liquidity insurance"

– banks insures agents against the θ_H shock

• Useful to distinguish:

(i) allocating cash to those who need it

- (*ii*) insuring agents against type shocks
- Both are a type of "liquidity insurance"
- In this paper, banks do (i) but not (ii)

(iii) Interest on Reserves

- Institutional detail: banks reserves are held in two forms
 - (*a*) currency in vault/ATMs
 - (b) deposits at Federal Reserve
- Fed has started paying interest on (b)
- Reserves in this model resemble (a)
- ⇒ Policy prescription of the model: central bank should pay interest an vault cash

But...

• In this model (as in many others) the Friedman rule fixes everything

- one implementation: pay interest on currency

- impractical; here: paying interest on *some* currency is helpful

General point:

- Paper shows paying interest on reserves improves welfare *assuming* monetary policy is suboptimal
 - common approach, but questionable
- If FR is not optimal for some reason...
 - that same reason may make interest on reserves undesirable

Conclusions

- Interesting paper
- Part of an important research program
 - we need better models of money & banking to inform policy decisions
 - interest on reserves question is a good illustration
- Banking models can be tricky
 - idiosyncratic risk makes banks useful in more than one way
- I hope the authors continue this line of work (and others join them!)