Discussion of:

Central Bank Digital Currency: Welfare and Policy Implications

by S. Williamson

Todd Keister Rutgers University

Philadelphia Digital Currencies Conference October 16, 2020

An interesting paper

- There is growing interest in (and discussion of) CBDC
 - potential benefits and costs, unintended consequences
- Sorting through these issues systematically requires good models
- The model here generates some interesting insights
 - and raises new questions

Outline:

- Review key features of the model
- Current environment (no CBDC)
- Two CBDC policy regimes
- Comments

Key features

- New monetarist model with bilateral exchange in DM meetings
 - some meetings require currency (physical or digital)
 - could be due to privacy concerns, or ...
 - other meetings require electronic payment (deposits or CBDC)
 - \Rightarrow these means of payment need to be created, and must be backed

Assets:

- physical capital: standard, subject to diminishing returns
- govt. debt: real value outstanding is fixed by fiscal policy \bar{v}
- Shortage of safe assets
 - real value of means of payment needed for efficient exchange ...
 - is larger than efficient capital stock $\left(f'(k^*) = \frac{1}{\beta}\right)$ plus stock of govt. debt \bar{v}

Private banks:	Assets	Liabilities
issue denosits: an electronic	currency	deposits
means of payment	capital	
	govt. bonds	equity
the set of		

 hold physical capital, govt. bonds and currency

- > agents can withdraw currency after observing their type
- incentive constraint: must provide equity to ensure they do not abscond
 - ▶ shortage of safe assets \Rightarrow low real interest rate \Rightarrow equity is costly

Central bank:	Assets	Liabilities
 creates currency by purchasing govt. bonds 	govt. bonds	currency

- currency + debt held by public = \bar{v}
- faces a revenue requirement (to preserve independence)

Current environment (no CBDC)

- Monetary policy in this setting is about allocating the govt. debt ...
 - between currency and deposits
- Efficiency: capital, govt. bonds, and currency have same return
 - meaning the nominal interest rate on govt bonds is zero
 - no opportunity cost of holding currency relative to govt. bonds
- But: central bank makes no revenue

Assets	Liabilities
govt. bonds	currency

- Optimal policy:
 - \blacktriangleright provide less currency \rightarrow interest rate on govt bonds increases
 - that is, the opportunity cost of holding currency increases
 - until central bank makes just enough revenue to remain independent

Introducing CBDC

- Suppose the central bank can create a new type of currency
 - *digital currency* can be used in both types of DM meetings
 - it both provides privacy and is electronic
 - ... and can bear interest
- Paper studies two distinct ways of introducing CBDC

Policy 1: CBDC replaces cash

- Suppose CBDC does not compete with bank deposits
 - perhaps because the interest rate is set relatively low

Assets	Liabilities	Assets	Liabilities
govt. bonds	digital currency	capital	deposits
		govt. bonds	
	"CBDC in the ATM	" digital currency	equity

- Interest-bearing currency gives the CB a new policy tool:
 - it can set the opportunity cost of holding money \neq inflation rate
- Is this tool useful? It depends ...
- Suppose central bankers like 2% inflation (for some reason)
 - can now set opportunity cost of holding money efficiently
 - while maintaining inflation at 2%
 - \Rightarrow raises welfare
- Here: inflation per se does not matter
 - already set to generate the efficient opportunity cost of holding money
 - so that the central bank can meet its revenue requirement
 - \Rightarrow the extra tool is not useful; this type of CBDC does not raise welfare

Policy 2: CBDC competes with deposits

- Now suppose CBDC is an attractive alternative to deposits
 - some agents hold CBDC instead of bank deposits

Assets	Liabilities	Assets	Liabilities
govt. bonds	currency	capital	deposits
govt. bonds	digital currency	govt. bonds	
		currency	equity

- Additional benefit of CBDC:
 - economizes on the use of scarce collateral
 - central bank is more efficient at intermediating bonds \rightarrow money
- Why not have CB take over the financial system?
 - not allowed to hold private assets
 - would create incentive or independence problems

Optimal policy in this regime is tricky

Assets	Liabilities	Assets	Liabilities
govt. bonds	currency	capital	deposits
govt. bonds	digital currency	govt. bonds	
		currency	equity

want CB balance sheet to be larger and private banks smaller

- \blacktriangleright so that more safe assets are \rightarrow means of payment
- results in less physical capital, which is good (there was overinvestment)
- some physical currency remains in circulation
 - otherwise banks could not operate \rightarrow no investment
- and CB needs to generate enough revenue to meet requirement

Result: This type of CBDC can raise welfare

Some comments

Assets	Liabilities	Assets	Liabilities
govt. bonds	reserves	capital	deposits
		govt. bonds	
		reserves	equity

- Suppose the central bank buys government bonds
 - creates bank reserves and deposits
 - central bank chooses the interest rate on reserves
 - banks intermediate these reserves into deposits (means of payment)
- How is that different from CBDC?
- The model provides two answers:
 - privacy: I prefer using CBDC over deposits in some transactions
 - efficiency: \$1 in govt bonds creates more CBDC than deposits

Thinking about the benefits ...

Privacy from whom?

- in the model, CBDC gives me privacy from my bank
 - and maybe from the seller (less clear)
- in practice: I might worry about privacy from the CB/government
- q: how strong is (net) the privacy benefit of CBDC?
 - more generally: why do we want publicly-issued money?
- Efficiency and Modigliani-Miller
 - when banks hold more reserves, can they have higher leverage?
 - depends on the details of the collateral constraint
 - regulators seem to have mixed views:
 - risk-weighted capital requirements \rightarrow yes
 - ▶ supplemental leverage ratio \rightarrow no
 - q: how robust is the efficiency benefit of CBDC to alternative specifications?

Central bank revenue

- Logic of the model is leans heavily on the revenue requirement
- To what extent does this concern drive monetary policy?
 - > when policy makers justify a 2% inflation target ... don't hear it
 - is it in the bank of their minds? I don't know ...
- Central banks have other sources of revenue
 - maturity mismatch of assets and liabilities
 - Fed remittances to Treasury have been large in recent years
 - despite a low opportunity cost of holding money



How comfortable are we with policy prescriptions based on this requirement?

Policy regime 1 is interesting (novel?)

"CBDC in the ATM"

- Individuals hold bank deposits
 - withdraw CBDC if they need privacy; otherwise pay with deposit
- Q: Would introducing CBDC change the velocity of money?
 - in the model, velocity is fixed at 1 (one DM meeting per period)
 - more broadly: withdrawing physical currency requires a trip to the ATM
 - withdrawing CBDC ... could be done on my phone?
 - immediately before I make the purchase?
 - seems like velocity of CBDC could be very high ...
- Good news: high velocity ⇒ efficient use of scarce collateral?
- ▶ Bad news: mv = pq high $v \Rightarrow low m \Rightarrow low CB$ revenue worth thinking about?

Interesting paper on an interesting topic

• A lot more issues to think about!