

Discussion of:

*A Unified Framework for CBDC Design:  
Remuneration, Collateral Haircuts and Quantity Constraints*

by K. Assenmacher, A. Berentsen, C. Brand, and N. Lamersdorf

Todd Keister  
Rutgers University

*SNB-CIF Conference on Cryptoassets and Financial Innovation  
May 20, 2021*

# Setup

---

- ▶ A model of money and banking ...
  - ▶ entrepreneurs need capital to produce output
  - ▶ must pay capital suppliers up front
  - ▶ borrow from bank, use deposit to pay suppliers
    - ▶ supplier holds the deposit until the next period
  - ▶ entrepreneur produces, repays the loan; bank repays deposits
- ▶ ... **times two**
  - ▶ entrepreneurs need two types of capital
    - ▶ some suppliers want payment in bank deposits
    - ▶ but other want payment in CBDC
  - ▶ entrepreneurs must borrow both deposits (from bank) and CBDC (from CB)
  - ▶ CB policy determines the costs associated with using CBDC

# Modeling CBDC

---

- ▶ Paper is part of a growing literature on CBDC
- ▶ Objectives are quite fundamental (and important)
  - ▶ how does CBDC policy affect bank lending, investment, output, & welfare?
- ▶ A model of CBDC must take a stand on two issues

## (1) What is CBDC?

- ▶ what can it be used for? (What is the “use case”?)

## (2) How does CBDC enter the economy?

- ▶ what are the operating procedures by which it is created?
- ▶ One way to describe how the paper fits into the growing literature ...
- ▶ ... is to look at how it approaches these two issues

# (1) What is CBDC?

---

- ▶ In some papers, CBDC is a (near) perfect substitute for an existing payment method
    - ▶ just like cash, for example, but interest bearing
    - ▶ or just like deposits, but issued by CB
      - ▶ or perhaps a perfect substitute for both in transactions
  - ▶ Here: some agents only accept CBDC in payment
    - ▶ and these agents produce an essential input of production
  - ▶ CBDC is technologically different from existing payment methods
    - ▶ that is, it provides something to users (privacy?) that bank deposits do not
  - ▶ Focus of the paper is not on whether to introduce CBDC
    - ▶ by assumption, it will raise welfare here
  - ▶ But rather on: How should the CB provide CBDC?
-

## (2) How does CBDC enter the economy?

---

- ▶ Models tend to fall into one of two broad categories
  - ▶ “Open Market Operations” view:
    - ▶ CB creates money by purchasing assets, primarily government bonds
    - ▶ introducing CBDC ⇒ more purchases of govt bonds by CB
      - ▶ Barrdear & Kumhof; Williamson; Keister and Sanches; others
  - ▶ “Refinancing operations” view:
    - ▶ CB creates money by lending to the private sector
    - ▶ introducing CBDC ⇒ more CB lending, perhaps directly to non-bank firms
      - ▶ Brunnermeier and Niepelt; Niepelt; others; **this paper**
    - ▶ This approach brings more policy choices: the terms of CB lending
      - ▶ interest rate, collateral rules, quantity limits, counterparties, etc.
  - ▶ These additional policies are the focus of this paper
-

# Contribution

---

- ▶ Paper studies how the operating procedures for creating CBDC ...
  - ▶ cost of borrowing; haircut on collateral; borrowing limits
- ▶ ... affect equilibrium outcomes in a general-equilibrium model
- ▶ Some interesting interactions arise
  - ▶ CBDC policy affects output in the “CBDC sector” ...
  - ▶ ... which affects the marginal product of capital in the “deposits sector” ...
  - ▶ ... and therefore equilibrium bank lending, interest rates, etc.
- ▶ Optimal policy is a form of the Friedman rule
  - ▶ making CBDC expensive to use, or placing limits on use, lower welfare
- ▶ But moving toward the optimal policy may or may not decrease bank lending and deposits (i.e., “disintermediate” banks)

---

# Four comments

## (i) Disintermediation

---

- ▶ Does an attractive CBDC disintermediate banks? It depends ...
  - ▶ on degree of substitutability between inputs financed by CBDC & deposits
- ▶ If these inputs are close substitutes:
  - ▶ disintermediation (as in other papers); firms change composition of inputs
- ▶ But the inputs can instead be *complements*
  - ▶ high use of “CBDC inputs” raises the marginal product of “deposit inputs”
    - ▶ similar to results based on market paper (Andolfatto; Chiu et al), but different
- ▶ Interesting point; I would like to understand it better
  - ▶ how can I think about the complementarity case in practice?
    - ▶ in the model, some agents have a strong preference for CBDC
    - ▶ and they happen to be the only producers of a key input in production
    - ▶ in practice: perhaps CBDC allows new arrangements (smart contracts?)



## (ii) Tiering

---

- ▶ Here: central banks lend directly to private firms
  - ▶ some policy makers might not be comfortable with this approach
- ▶ Alternatively, could use a tiered system *with CBDC*
  - ▶ CB creates CBDC by lending to banks
  - ▶ banks lend to firms in either deposits or in CBDC

Q: Would equilibrium outcomes be different under a tiered system?

- ▶ if the banking system were fully competitive, perhaps not
- ▶ but with the bargaining approach used here, it seems they might
- ▶ Might be interesting to study:
  - ▶ how CBDC policy is transmitted through the banking system to firms
  - ▶ when the central bank is only willing to deal directly with banks

## (iii) Policy tools

---

- ▶ Some of the policy tools studied here are not useful in the model
  - ▶ no benefit in this setting to putting quantity limits on CBDC loans
  - ▶ nor to setting larger haircuts
- ▶ Yet these seem like natural tools for policy makers to consider
  - ▶ and decisions that will need to be made if the CB creates CBDC by lending

Q: Are there (tractable) changes that would give these tools a benefit?

- ▶ collateral could be risky, for example
  - ▶ or entrepreneurs might have private information about their productivity
  - ▶ Such features would complicate the model, of course
    - ▶ ... but could offer important new policy insights
    - ▶ existing papers on haircut policy might help (Chapman et al.; others)
-

## (iv) Alternative take on the model

---

- ▶ Setup here could be interpreted as a model of cash and deposits
  - ▶ production requires a combination of cash inputs and deposit inputs
  - ▶ interest rate on cash is zero
  - ▶ think of lending rate on cash as being set by monetary policy concerns
  - ▶ perhaps could infer substitutability parameter  $\rho$  by looking at ratio of cash to deposits in the data
- ▶ Now, introduce CBDC into that environment
  - ▶ replaces cash, and competes with deposits on the margin (as in paper)
  - ▶ CB gains new policy tools: interest rate, haircut, quantity limit
  - ▶ CBDC may also increase  $\rho$  (better substitute for deposits than cash)
- ▶ Might this alternative approach be worth thinking about?
  - ▶ the model seems easier to interpret (to me, at least)